

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/659,777	09/10/2003	Henry Petteri Haverinen	KOLS.047PA	4888	
76385 7590 04/09/2009 Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC 8009 34th Avenue South			EXAMINER		
			AJAYI, JOEL		
Suite 125 Minneapolis, I	MN 54425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/659,777	HAVERINEN, HENRY PETTERI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOEL AJAYI	2617		

	JOEL AJAYI	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to exempt on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of surface and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in the applicatio	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims ould be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> </ul>		condition for allowan	ce because:
13. Other:	TO/OB/00) Paper No(s).		
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument features transferring the tunneling IP address from the first access device to a second access device. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's statement and asserts that La Porta discloses that packets are tunneled from the home agent to a care of address assigned to the mobile station within the foreign domain; when handoffs occur between the base stations/access devices in the foreign domain, the care of address/tunneling IP address is kept/maintained/transfered (column 7, lines 394-71).

The argument features that the base station does not have any tunneling related functionality. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's statement and asserts that La Portal discloses that packets are tunneled from the home agent/router to the base station, then to the mobile station by means of the care of address assigned to the mobile station (column 7, lines 32-41) For this to occur, the base station has to have tunneling related from citionality.

In view of the above, the rejection using La Porta is maintained.